

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/732,926	EGUCHI, KOJI
	Examiner Aaron C Perez-Daple	Art Unit 2154

All Participants:

(1) Aaron C Perez-Daple. (3) _____

(2) Alexander Yampolsky (Reg. 36,324). (4) _____

Date of Interview: 11/3/04

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

103 (a)

Claims discussed:

1 and 11

Prior art documents discussed:

prior art of record

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:


See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


JOHN FOLLANSBEE
 SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
 TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview with SPE approval including description of the general nature of what was discussed: In a telephone interview, the Examiner indicated with SPE approval that claim 1 appears allowable over the prior art of record. The Examiner, with SPE approval, would be willing to reconsider the allowability of claim 11 if amended to recite a control table storing control data corresponding to an identification number of a device associated with a product.